



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In light of the disclosure on page 12 in the amendment filed 7/10/03 that in present claim 8, the amount of Component D of the resin composition, i.e. alkali metal salt of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid, is "limited to 2 to 5 wt.%" as well as in light of disclosures in the declaration filed 7/31/03 regarding the amount of alkali metal salt of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid, i.e. "it is important to use a resin composition containing sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate in a proportion of 2 to 5 wt. %" (see page 6), examiner questioned if applicants meant to amend present claim 8 to change the lower limit of the amount of alkali metal salt of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid from 0.2 wt.% to 2 wt.%.

Mr. Landsman stated that in fact applicants *did intend to make such an amendment* but due to an inadvertent error, the amendment was not made. Thus, Mr. Landsman agreed to and authorized the examiner's amendment to claim 8 to change the lower limit of the amount of alkali metal salt of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid to 2 wt.%.

Mr. Landsman also noted that page 4, second full paragraph of the amendment filed 7/10/03 also contains the same inadvertent error, namely, applicants mistakenly recite that the lower limit of the amount of alkali metal salt of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid is 0.2 wt. % rather than 2 wt. % as intended by applicants.

Further, the examiner's amendment to claim 10 was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Landsman in order to insert missing word form the claim.